



### DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

## 1.4.1 Structured feedback for design and review of syllabus

Sl. No	Description	Page No		
1	1 Process of Curriculum Evaluation			
2	Design of Curriculum Flow chart	3-5		
3	Sample student feedback form	6		
4	Sample Alumni feedback form	7		
5	Sample Employer feedback form	8		
6	Sample Faculty feedback form	9		
7	Student Feedback form	10-14		
8	Alumni Feedback form	15-17		
9	Employers Feedback forms	18-21		
10	Faculty Feedback forms	22-26		

## **Index Page**

A.Y: 2019-20

HEAD

Dept. of EEE, CBIT (A) Gandipet, Hyderabad - 75





## DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

1.4.1 Structured feedback for design and review of syllabus - semester- wise / year-wise is received from

- 1) Students,
- 2) Teachers,
- 3) Employers and
- 4) Alumni

### Process of curriculum evaluation:

Curriculum of the program is designed by Course expert group(CEG) of the department, which is in line with Vision and Mission of the Institute/Departments, Program Outcomes, curricula of Reputed universities / Institutions, curriculum proposed by Statutory bodies and identified industrial needs.

The complete process is executed by four level system consists of :

- Course expert group (CEG)
- Programme Assessment & Quality Improvement Committee(PAQIC)
- Board of Studies(BoS)
- Common Board of Studies
- Academic Council (AC)
- Governing Body(GB)

### Subject Expert Committee:

This committee comprises of Head of the Department, senior faculty members and Course Coordinators. Based on the assessment from the feedback of stakeholders, alumni and industry needs new course is framed or existing course is modified. Suggests the actions needed for further improvement. This committee will meet at least once in a semester.

### Course expert group(CEG):

Board of Studies is constituted with Head of the Department (Chairman), professors of the department, one senior Associate Professor, Senior Assistant Professor, two experts from other prime universities / academic institutes, expert adviser from industry along with Alumni. The syllabus proposed (for both UG and PG program) by Course expert group(CEG) is reviewed in BoS meeting. BoS will meet at least once in a year.

HEAD Dept. of EEE, CBIT (A) Gandipet, Hyderabad - 75

#### Academic Council (AC):

The members of Academic Council are Principal, Deans, Professors and Heads of the departments, expert from reputed university and industry. Syllabus recommended by BoS is approved by Academic Council. This will meet at least once in a year.

#### **Governing Body:**

Governing Body comprises of member from Board of Management, expert from reputed University, Industry experts, UGC nominee, Principal, two senior Professors. The academic regulations and curriculum designed and approved are accepted by Governing body.

#### **Curriculum Design Process:**

The process adopted to design the curriculum is as follows and shown in Fig-1.1:

- Course contents are prepared by a member of staff expert committee.
- Course objectives and outcomes are defined by expert members.
- The designed curriculum, collection of courses set by subject expert committee, is reviewed and approved in BoS and further in common BoS for all programmes.
- Curriculum approved by BoS is discussed in academic council and approved after review.
- The final curriculum is approved by the governing body of the institute.



Fig: 1.1. Design of Curriculum

HEAD Dept. of EEE, CBIT (A) Gandipet, Hyderabad - 75

#### While designing the curriculum the following points are considered.

- 1. Vision and Mission of the Institute/Departments
- 2. Program outcomes
- 3. Curricula at IITs and NITs
- 4. Accreditation Criteria
- 5. Model curriculum proposed by AICTE
- 6. Industrial demand
- 7. Local Needs
- 8. Board of Studies (BoS) as per UGC norms: with senior faculty, subject experts, industry experts, alumni, etc.,
- 9. Stake holders Feedback.

In considering the above points the department has followed the following steps in framing and finalizing the curriculum and syllabus

- I. Department level discussions
- II. Common BoS meeting at the Institute level
- III. BoS meeting by individual departments
- IV. Common BoS meeting --- If required
- V. Academic Council Meeting
- VI. Governing Body Meeting
- VII. Finally, for Circulation and for Implementation.

Revision of syllabus has been done during academic year 2016-17 as per Choice Based Credit System (CBCS). Third revision was done during the academic year 2018-19 as per the AICTE Model Curriculum (MC).

In connection with the above mentioned process, Stake holder's feedback process plays an important role in Curriculum design.

The composition of the stakeholders of the programme- (Student, Alumni, Industry, Faculty, Employer and Parents as stake holders)

## Student (33)

- Most prominent role in the program.
- Students feedback is considered to introduce innovative teaching and learning methodologies
- Students input will help in program to introduce the elective courses to meet current trends.

HEAD Dept. of EEE, CBIT (A) Gandipet, Hyderabad - 75

## Faculty (15)

- Plays a vital role in executing of the program.
- Faculty involves in various committees to check the consistency and Quality of the program.
- Faculty provides inputs for designing the program, PEOs/POs establishment, Course Objectives and assessment.

### Alumni (25)

- Focus group because they are a measure of the long-term success of our program.
- Alumni feedback helps in curriculum design to meet recent trends in engineering.
- Recollect their existence during their program study and advise the department with necessary inputs in point of student career.

#### Employer (4)

- Represents the major end users of our graduates.
- Gives higher focus to the program on future data to create awareness with current industry
- Gives input which bridges the gap between industry and Academics.

#### Parents

- Extends valuable advices to the department depending upon their background which compliments curriculum framework.
- Expects their wards in good professional career and higher education

HEAD Dept. of EEE, CBIT (A) Gandipet, Hyderabad - 75

## Student Feedback- Academic Year:

Name of the Student :	Department: EEE
Name of the Department :	
Name of the programme :	
Academic Year :	

Excellent-5 Very Good-4 Good-3 Average-2 Poor-1
---

S.No	Parameters	Rating (5 being highest
1	The Syllabus Of the courses that you have studied, Relation to the competencies expected?	
2	The allocation of the credits to the courses?	
3	The offering of the Electives in terms of their relevance to specialization streams?	
4	The Electives offered in relation to the technological advancements?	
5	Rate the size of Syllabus in terms of the load on the students.	
6	The evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?	
7	The Objectives Stated for each of the course?	
8	The Percentage of course having LAB Components?	
Any c	ther relevant information :	

Signature of the Student

Z -r-V HEAD Dept. of EEE, CBIT (A) Gandipet, Hyderabad - 75

## CHAITANYA BHARATHI INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY(A) DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL &ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING <u>Feedback from B.E Alumni - Academic Year:</u>

Name:

Mobile No:

Email:

Year of Passing:

Alumni are the important stakeholders to our institution. Your feedback facilitates to correct and improve various existing processes in the institution. On behalf of the institute, we request you to spare your valuable time to give your feedback in the following format.

5.No	Parameters	Rating (5 being highes
1.	How effectively is the knowledge acquired in the UG program at CBIT is helping you in your career?	
2.	How effectively are you utilizing the acquired problem solving design/development skills in your professional life?	
3.	How useful is the project work/research-based approach you learnt in CBIT helping you in providing valid conclusions in your work?	
4.	How good are you at using modern engineering and Software tools in your work environment?	
5.	As a professional engineer, how actively are you working towards societal and environmental benefits?	
6.	How well has CBIT prepared you to be a life-long learner by following professional ethics/values?	
7.	How well do you think that your interaction with the faculty/guests/peers/juniors in CBIT helped you to communicate in your work environment?	
8.	To what extent has your involvement in the events organized in CBIT helped you to enhance your self-confidence, team work, leadership and managerial skills?	
9.	To what extent you are able to Analyze, synthesize, design and test Electrical &Electronic systems	
10.	Usefulness of our curriculum in the Industry	
11.	Usefulness of co-curricular/ extra-curricular activities at CBIT	
Any ot	her relevant information :	

Signature of Alumni

HEAD Dept. of EEE, CBIT (A) Gandipet, Hyderabad - 75

## CHAITANYA BHARATHI INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY(A) **Employer Feedback** - Academic Year:

Name of the Company/Institute: Name of the Evaluating Graduate with Designation: Year:

Employers are the important stakeholders to our institution. Your feedback facilitates to correct and improve various existing processes in the institution. On behalf of the institute, we request you to spare your valuable time to give your feedback in the followingformat.

Excell	Excellent-5 Very Good-4 Good-3 Average-2						Poor-1			
S.No		Pa	Ratin	Rating (5 being highest)						
1	Domain Kr	nowledge and Aptitu	ide Levels							
2	Problem an	alysis and design of	f appropriate solu	tions						
3	Attitude to	wards Research base	ed approach							
4	Adaptabilit	Adaptability to new technology/tools and zeal to be a constant learner								
5	Commitment to work, managerial skills and ability to meet deadlines									
6	Work towards sustainable development, societal improvements and Environmental Benefits									
7	Professional ethics									
8	Communica	Communication Skills								
9	Team spirit	Team spirit, interpersonal relations and leadership skills								
10	How do you rate capability to analyze, synthesize, design, develop and test systems/processes?									
11	Overall Job performance									
Any c	other releva	nt information :			· · · · · ·					

Signature of the Employer

2 HEAD Dept. of EEE, CBIT (A) Gandipet, Hyderabad - 75

#### FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM - Academic Year:

Name of the Faculty Member	:	Department: EEE
Designation	:	
Courses Handled	:	

Excellent-5	Very Good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1

S.No	Parameters	Ratin	g (5 beiı	ng highest)
1	The design of the curriculum addresses the holistic development of student.			
2	The curriculum is well balanced with knowledge, skills and employability.			
3	Suitability of the Syllabus to the Course.			
4	The course/courses are relevant to the present scenario.			
5	Course objectives and outcomes are well defined.			
6	Prescribed books/suggested readings and other references appropriate.			
7	BoS members from Academia and Industry constructive in updating the syllabi according to the changing educational challenges and requirements in line with regulating bodies like AICTE, UGC etc.			
8	The scheme and evaluationschedules satisfy the Teaching Learning Process.			
9	Freedom to suggest/propose/modify/incorporate new topics in the syllabus during the revision of curriculum?			
10	Institute/Department gives the freedom to adopt new technologies/strategies of innovative teaching?			
11	The environment in the department is conducing to learning, teaching, and research.			
12	Provisions/ for professional development.			
13	Adequacy of infrastructure (class/staff rooms, labs, library, and ICT facilities) in the institute.			
Any o	other relevant information :			

Signature of the faculty

 $\cup$ a HEAD Dept. of EEE, CBIT (A) Gandipet, Hyderabad - 75

2019-20 Student Feedback- Academic Year:

		VC	Tain		Department: EEE
Name of the Student	:	K Sa	1ega		7 0
Name of the Student Name of the Departme	nt:	Electe	ical and	Electionics	Engineering
Name of the programm	e :	B·E			
Academic Year	:	2019-2	2020.		
	-		Cood 2	Average-7	Poor-1

Excellent-5	Very Good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1
S No	P	arameters		Rating (5 being highest)

S.No	Parameters	Ratin	ig (5	bein	g nigr	iest)				
1	The Syllabus Of the courses that you have studied, Relation to the competencies expected?		2							
2	The allocation of the credits to the courses?		4							
3	The offering of the Electives in terms of their relevance to specialization streams?		4							
4	The Electives offered in relation to the technological advancements?		3							
5	Rate the size of Syllabus in terms of the load on the students.		5							
6	The evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?		4							
7	The Objectives Stated for each of the course?		5							
8	The Percentage of course having LAB Components?	ŕ	3							
	Any other relevant information : Syllabus is more than the compared to office years. Balancing the syllabue division is cruchal.									

(

(

Signature of the Student

Dept of LLL, CBIT [ ] Ganillot Hyderabud 1.

## Student Feedback- Academic Year: 2019-20

		Department: EEE
Name of the Student	: A. Anil KUMar	Department 222
Name of the Department	: EEE	
Name of the programme	:	
Academic Year	: 2019-20	

Excellent-5	Very Good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1

S.No	Parameters	Rating (5 being highest						
1	The Syllabus Of the courses that you have studied, Relation to the competencies expected?		4	_				
2	The allocation of the credits to the courses?		5					
3	The offering of the Electives in terms of their relevance to specialization streams?		5					
4	The Electives offered in relation to the technological advancements?		5					
5	Rate the size of Syllabus in terms of the load on the students.		4					
6	The evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?		4					
7	The Objectives Stated for each of the course?		3					
8	The Percentage of course having LAB Components?		5					
Any other relevant information: Should five compulsory question as homework to the juniors to try and clear doupts on particular tops:								

Signature of the Student

-----

٦

2

HEAD Dept. of FLE, CBIT (A) Gen Just, Huderabad-75

## Student Feedback- Academic Year: 2019-20

Name of the Student	:	(1. Lasya (160116784008)	Department: EEE
Name of the Department	:	FFF - 0	
Name of the programme	:	Br	
Academic Year	:	2019-2020	

Excellent-5	Very Good-4	Good-3	Average-2	P007-1

S.No	Parameters	Rati	ing (5	bein	g higt	lest)
1	The Syllabus Of the courses that you have studied, Relation to the competencies expected?	5				
2	The allocation of the credits to the courses?		Y			
3	The offering of the Electives in terms of their relevance to specialization streams?	5				
4	The Electives offered in relation to the technological advancements?	5				
5	Rate the size of Syllabus in terms of the load on the students.	5				
6	The evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?			3		
7	The Objectives Stated for each of the course?	5				
8	The Percentage of course having LAB Components?	5				
Any	other relevant information :					

N 2

hanna Signature of the Student

.

## Student Feedback- Academic Year: 2019-20

Name of the Student	:	M. shara th $(160116734302)$	Department: EEE
Name of the Department	:	EEE-DI	
Name of the programme	:	Bre	
Academic Year	:	2019-2020	•

Excellent-5	Very Good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1

.No	Parameters	Rati	g high	iest)		
1	The Syllabus Of the courses that you have studied, Relation to the competencies expected?	5	1			
2	The allocation of the credits to the courses?	5				
3	The offering of the Electives in terms of their relevance to specialization streams?	5				
4	The Electives offered in relation to the technological advancements?	5.				
5	Rate the size of Syllabus in terms of the load on the students.	5				
6	The evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?	5	4			
7	The Objectives Stated for each of the course?	5	1		•	
8	The Percentage of course having LAB Components?	5				
Any	other relevant information :			1		

Y

,

Signature of the Student

Dept. of SCE, CBIT (A) Gandlest, Eyderabad-75

# Student Feedback- Academic Year: 2019-20

.

Name of the Student	:	Y. sharma (160116-734120)		100
Name of the Department			Department: EEE	
Name of the programme	:	RE		
Academic Year	:	2019-20		

Excellent-5	Very Good-4				
Executent-5	verv Good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1	
		doou-J	Average-2		

S.No	Parameters Rating (5 being highe						
1	The Syllabus Of the courses that you have studied, Relation to the competencies expected?	5					
2	The allocation of the credits to the courses?		4				
3	The offering of the Electives in terms of their relevance to specialization streams?	5					
4	The Electives offered in relation to the technological advancements?	5	9- y				
5	Rate the size of Syllabus in terms of the load on the students.	5			. 8		
6	The evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?		4			1	
7	The Objectives Stated for each of the course?	5					
8	The Percentage of course having LAB Components?	5					
Any V	other relevant information: Requested to send the vhitents to the The jacune to get hands on servicon,	R.	tac	rini	-9		
11	) modified the signals of tistem as f syllaburs. Signal		the Yasl	e 1	J	ofe	

J Y ł

HEAD Dept. of EEE, CBIT (A) Smiller, Nydonbad-75

.

,

## CHAITANYA BHARATHI INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY(A) DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING <u>Feedback from B.E Alumni</u> - Academic Year: 2019-20

Name: Zahab Shahan Mobile No: 86866 12387

Email: Zahabshahan @deloitte . Com

Year of Passing: 2019

Alumni are the important stakeholders to our institution. Your feedback facilitates to correct and improve various existing processes in the institution. On behalf of the institute, we request you to spare your valuable time to give your feedback in the following format.

Good-3

Excellent-5 Very Good-4

Average-2 Poor-1 S.No Parameters Rating (5 being highest) How effectively is the knowledge acquired in the UG program 1. at CBIT is helping you in your career? 5 How effectively are you utilizing the acquired problem solving 2. design/development skills in your professional life? 5 How useful is the project work/research-based approach you 3. learnt in CBIT helping you in providing valid conclusions in 5 your work? How good are you at using modern engineering and Software 4 tools in your work environment? 5 As a professional engineer, how actively are you working 5. towards societal and environmental benefits? 4 How well has CBIT prepared you to be a life-long learner by 6 following professional ethics/values? 5 How well do you think that your interaction with the 7. faculty/guests/peers/juniors in CBIT helped you to 5 communicate in your work environment? To what extent has your involvement in the events organized 8. in CBIT helped you to enhance your self-confidence, team 3 work, leadership and managerial skills? To what extent you are able to Analyze, synthesize, design and 9. test Electrical & Electronic systems 5 Usefulness of our curriculum in the Industry 10. C 11. Usefulness of co-curricular/ extra-curricular activities at CBIT Any other relevant information : The Courses like Veichles should be theirs. el · ctaic -> & Please five Preference for Handhore Proverts too

Signature of Alumn

Gun DRL H. Columbad

### CHAITANYA BHARATHI INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY(A) DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING Feedback from B.E Alumni - Academic Year: 2019-20

Name: p.v:shny Mobile No: 9505951721 Email: Vishnupasulaz@gmail.com

Year of Passing: 2019

Alumni are the important stakeholders to our institution. Your feedback facilitates to correct and improve various existing processes in the institution. On behalf of the institute, we request you to spare your valuable time to give your feedback in the following format.

Excellent-5	Very Good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1
DACCHERC C				

S.No	Parameters	Rating (5 being hi						
1.	How effectively is the knowledge acquired in the UG program at CBIT is helping you in your career?	S						
2.	How effectively are you utilizing the acquired problem solving design/development skills in your professional life?	S						
3.	How useful is the project work/research-based approach you learnt in CBIT helping you in providing valid conclusions in your work?	5						
4.	How good are you at using modern engineering and Software tools in your work environment?	5						
5.	As a professional engineer, how actively are you working towards societal and environmental benefits?		Ч					
6.	How well has CBIT prepared you to be a life-long learner by following professional ethics/values?	5	Ċ.					
7.	How well do you think that your interaction with the faculty/guests/peers/juniors in CBIT helped you to communicate in your work environment?	5	2. 		•			
8.	To what extent has your involvement in the events organized in CBIT helped you to enhance your self-confidence, team work, leadership and managerial skills?		Y					
9.	To what extent you are able to Analyze, synthesize, design and test Electrical & Electronic systems	5						
10.	Usefulness of our curriculum in the Industry	5						
11.	Usefulness of co-curricular/ extra-curricular activities at CBIT	5						
Any ot 1. Ple 2. Te	her relevant information: name give proper guidance for GAT achers are good at teaching. which are good and all staff support	Ē.						

Signature of Alumni

Dept. of EEE, CBIT (A Gandipet, Hyderahad-75

## CHAITANYA BHARATHI INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY(A) DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING Feedback from B.E Alumni - Academic Year: 2019-20

Name:

B. Vaishnavi

Mobile No:

o: 8919468339 vaishnavipinky@gmail.com Email:

Year of Passing: 2019

Alumni are the important stakeholders to our institution. Your feedback facilitates to correct and improve various existing processes in the institution. On behalf of the institute, we request you to spare your valuable time to give your feedback in the following format.

Excellent-5	Very Good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1	

<ol> <li>How effectively is the knowledge acquired in the UG program at CBIT is helping you in your career?</li> <li>How effectively are you utilizing the acquired problem solving design/development skills in your professional life?</li> </ol>	5			
2. How effectively are you utilizing the acquired problem solving design/development skills in your professional life?	-			
	5			
3. How useful is the project work/research-based approach you learnt in CBIT helping you in providing valid conclusions in your work?	5	1 1 1		
4. How good are you at using modern engineering and Software tools in your work environment?	5			
5. As a professional engineer, how actively are you working towards societal and environmental benefits?	5			
6. How well has CBIT prepared you to be a life-long learner by following professional ethics/values?	٢			
7. How well do you think that your interaction with the faculty/guests/peers/juniors in CBIT helped you to communicate in your work environment?	5	1		
8. To what extent has your involvement in the events organized in CBIT helped you to enhance your self-confidence, team work, leadership and managerial skills?			3	
9. To what extent you are able to Analyze, synthesize, design and test Electrical &Electronic systems	5	5		
10. Usefulness of our curriculum in the Industry	5			
11. Usefulness of co-curricular/ extra-curricular activities at CBIT	5			

Dept. of LTL, CB(T (A)

Vaish Signatur of Alumni

# CHAITANYA BHARATHI INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY(A) Employer Veedback - Academic Year: 2019-20

1 . .....

Name of the Company/Institute: Surge Automotive Technologiu Name of the Evaluating Graduate with Designation: Mrs. Radhika Reddey, Year: 2020 Porsidar Employers are the important stakeholders to our institution. Your feedback facilitates to correct and improve various existing processes in the institution. On behalf of the institute, we request you to spare your valuable time to give your feedback in the followingformat.

Excel	lent-5 Very Good-4 Good-3 Average-2					
S.No	Parameters	Poor-1				
1	Domain Knowledge and Aplitude Levels	highest	(5 heing			
2	Problem analysis and design of appropriate solutions	1-1-				
3	Attitude towards Research based approach	4-				
4	Adaptability to new technology/tools and zeal to be a constant learner	4-				
5	Commitment to work, managerial skills and ability to meet desillings	3		-		
6	Work towards sustainable development, societal improvements and Environmental Benefits	3				
7	Professional ethics	3	+			
8	Communication Skills	3				
9	Team spirit, interpersonal relations and leadership skills	6		1		
10	How do you rate capability to analyze, synthesize, design, develop and test systems/processes?	3				
11	Overall Jub performance	3		1		
Any o	overall Job performance ther relevant information: del. experiments elaked to pour notection-compenents like trainifue te. on pewer yetem tab. Interdeve becomedical instrument	per en emer	pilen - burbar			
	WI LOCULUE OF COM		FETRONIL TECH			
	541.13081		TELEGRAC (CPA)	1763		

((

#202916 109

## CHAITANYA BHARATHI INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY(A) Employer Feedback - Academic Year: 2019 10 2020

Name of the Company/Institute: TS TECHNOLOGIES Name of the Evaluating Graduate with Designation: TECHNICAL DIRECTOR Year: 2020

Employers are the important stakeholders to our institution. Your feedback facilitates to correct and improve various existing processes in the institution. On behalf of the institute, we request you to spare your valuable time to give your feedback in the followingformat.

Exce	accilent-5 Very Good-4 Good-3 Average-2					Poo	r-1	
S.No		Parameters						r
1	Domain Kn	owledge and Aptitud	de Levels		3			
2	Problem and	alysis and design of	appropriate solu	tions	C			
3	Attitude tow	wards Research based	1 approach		4	1		
4	Adaptability	to new technology/	tools and zeal to	be a constant learr				
5	Commitmen	t to work, manageri:	al skills and abil	ity to meet deadline				
6	Work toward Environment	ds sustainable develo tal Benefits	opment, societal	improvements and	3			
7	Professional				4			
8	Communicat	ion Skills			3			
9	Team spirit, i	3						
10		rate capability to ana ms/processes?	lyze, synthesize	, design, develop	4			
11	Overall Job pr	erformance			5			
ele	dvi cal	vahicles.		1.0				
	- Johe	anation o	t Rene	wable	1	). C	hu	_ (
0	() IDITE	to the av	il in N	ion Conver	Fiond	ature	of the E	iniploje
e	nevgy	gration o bothe gr Source	1		_ (	ST ST	Ĵ	

# CHAITANYA BHARATHI INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY(A)

Employer Feedback - Academic Year: 2019-20

Name of the Company/Institute: IMRC Name of the Evaluating Graduate with Designation: Dr. P. C. Reday

Employers are the important stakeholders to our institution. Your feedback facilitates to correct and improve various existing processes in the institution. On behalf of the institute, we request you to spare your valuable time to give your feedback in the followingformat.

FICE	llent-5	Very Good-4	Good-3	Average-2	[]	Poor-1			
S.No		Pa	rameters		Rating (5 being highest)				
1	Domain	Knowledge and Apun	de Levels		1		Contraction of Contra		
2	Problem	analysis and design of	appropriate solut	ions			a Comerce		
3	Attitude	towards Research base	d approach		11	1			
4	Adaptabi	lity to new technology	tools and zeal to	be a constant learner		1	11		
5	Commitm	sent to work, manager	ial skills and abili	ty to meet deadlines		1			
6		vards sustainable deve nental Benefits	lopment, societal	mprovements and					
7	Professio	nal ethics							
8	Commun	ication Skills	×			1			
9	Tcam spin	rit, interpersonal relation	ons and leadership	skills		1			
10		ou rate capability to an stems/processes?	ulyze, synthesize	, design, develop					
11	Overall Jo	b performance							
ny o ) fr	ther relev	est information: est to add ried Engine	ANN Pa	radigme in	(A) E	Ter leet	high		

Signature of the Employee Managing Director INTERLEAVED MULTIDISCIPLINARY **RESEARCH CENTRE** Hyderabad

## CHAITANYA BHARATHI INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY(A) Employer Feedback - Academic Year: 2019 - 20

Name of the Company/Institute: Medha Service reaction Drives Name of the Evaluating Graduate with Designation: Venketell Year: 2220 2020 Year:

Employers are the important stakeholders to our institution. Your feedback facilitates to correct and improve various existing processes in the institution. On behalf of the institute, we request you to spare your valuable time to give your feedback in the followingformat.

	Parameters	Rating	(5 beli	12	
1	Parameters				1
		highes	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2	Domain Knowledge and Aptitude Levels	4			
- 1	Problem analysis and design of appropriate solutions	2			
3	Attitude towards Research based approach	2.			
4	Adaptability to new technology/tools and zeal to be a constant learner	4			
5	Commitment to work, managerial skills and ability to meet deadlines	4			
6	Work towards sustainable development, societal improvements and Environmental Benefits	5			
7	Professional ethics	4			
8	Communication Skills	4			
9	Team spirit, interpersonal relations and leadership skills	C4			
-	How do you rate capability to analyze, synthesize, design, develop	3			
10	and test systems/processes?				
11	Overall Job performance	4			- 1
,	other relevant information: f Psia chical is 1. Analysis of Psia chical is Time Domein, facquency To with Various Test Signals. to be pucked. to contaoll	mec	109. J	HYLE	PRIL ABAD Reise mplaye
¢	suffering Courses.				
	2. outdated 8086 micao-P	2006	Mo	1	Gu
	spl				

Reliave.

## FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM - Academic Year: 2019-20

Name of the Faculty Designation Courses Handled	y Member : : :	C. S	Sriscila A. Pro	m.	Departme	nt: EEE
Excellent-5	Very Good-4		Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1	

0

The design of the curriculum addresses the holistic development of					
student.	5				
The curriculum is well balanced with knowledge, skills and employability.	4			·	
Suitability of the Syllabus to the Course.	4				
The course/courses are relevant to the present scenario.	5				
Course objectives and outcomes are well defined.	5				
Prescribed books/suggested readings and other references appropriate.	5				
BoS members from Academia and Industry constructive in updating the syllabi according to the changing educational challenges and requirements in line with regulating bodies like AICTE, UGC etc.	4				
The scheme and evaluationschedules satisfy the Teaching Learning Process.	.4				
Freedom to suggest/propose/modify/incorporate new topics in the syllabus during the revision of curriculum?	4				
Institute/Department gives the freedom to adopt new technologies/strategies of innovative teaching?	5				
The environment in the department is conducing to learning, teaching, and research.	5	-			
Provisions/ for professional development.	r	-			-
Adequacy of infrastructure (class/staff rooms, labs, library, and ICT facilities) in the institute.	-	-			
	employability. Suitability of the Syllabus to the Course. The course/courses are relevant to the present scenario. Course objectives and outcomes are well defined. Prescribed books/suggested readings and other references appropriate. BoS members from Academia and Industry constructive in updating the syllabi according to the changing educational challenges and requirements in line with regulating bodies like AICTE, UGC etc. The scheme and evaluationschedules satisfy the Teaching Learning Process. Freedom to suggest/propose/modify/incorporate new topics in the syllabus during the revision of curriculum? Institute/Department gives the freedom to adopt new technologies/strategies of innovative teaching? The environment in the department is conducing to learning, teaching, and research. Provisions/ for professional development. Adequacy of infrastructure (class/staff rooms, labs, library, and 1000	employability.4Suitability of the Syllabus to the Course.4The course/courses are relevant to the present scenario.5Course objectives and outcomes are well defined.5Prescribed books/suggested readings and other references appropriate.5BoS members from Academia and Industry constructive in updating the syllabi according to the changing educational challenges and requirements in line with regulating bodies like AICTE, UGC etc.4The scheme and evaluationschedules satisfy the Teaching Learning Process.5Freedom to suggest/propose/modify/incorporate new topics in the syllabus during the revision of curriculum?5Institute/Department gives the freedom to adopt new technologies/strategies of innovative teaching?5The environment in the department is conducing to learning, teaching, and research.5Provisions/ for professional development.5Adequacy of infrastructure (class/staff rooms, labs, library, and ICT facilities) in the institute.5	employability.4Suitability of the Syllabus to the Course.4The course/courses are relevant to the present scenario.5Course objectives and outcomes are well defined.5Prescribed books/suggested readings and other references appropriate.5BoS members from Academia and Industry constructive in updating the syllabi according to the changing educational challenges and requirements in line with regulating bodies like AICTE, UGC etc.4The scheme and evaluationschedules satisfy the Teaching Learning Process.4Freedom to suggest/propose/modify/incorporate new topics in the syllabus during the revision of curriculum?5The environment in the department is conducing to learning, teaching, and research.5Provisions/ for professional development.5Adequacy of infrastructure (class/staff rooms, labs, library, and ICT facilities) in the institute.5	employability.4Suitability of the Syllabus to the Course.4The course/courses are relevant to the present scenario.5Course objectives and outcomes are well defined.5Prescribed books/suggested readings and other references appropriate.5BoS members from Academia and Industry constructive in updating the syllabi according to the changing educational challenges and requirements in line with regulating bodies like AICTE, UGC etc.4The scheme and evaluationschedules satisfy the Teaching Learning Process.4Freedom to suggest/propose/modify/incorporate new topics in the syllabus during the revision of curriculum?5Institute/Department gives the freedom to adopt new technologies/strategies of innovative teaching?5The environment in the department is conducing to learning, teaching, and research.5Provisions/ for professional development.5Adequacy of infrastructure (class/staff rooms, labs, library, and ICT facilities) in the institute.4	Ine curriculum is well balanced with knowledge, skills and 4       4         employability.       4         Suitability of the Syllabus to the Course.       4         The course/courses are relevant to the present scenario.       5         Course objectives and outcomes are well defined.       5         Prescribed books/suggested readings and other references appropriate.       5         BoS members from Academia and Industry constructive in updating the syllabi according to the changing educational challenges and requirements in line with regulating bodies like AICTE, UGC etc.       4         The scheme and evaluationschedules satisfy the Teaching Learning Process.       5         Freedom to suggest/propose/modify/incorporate new topics in the syllabus during the revision of curriculum?       5         Institute/Department gives the freedom to adopt new technologies/strategies of innovative teaching?       5         The environment in the department is conducing to learning, teaching, and research.       5         Provisions/ for professional development.       5         Adequacy of infrastructure (class/staff rooms, labs, library, and ICT teaching in the institute.       4

5

Signature of the faculty

## FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM - Academic Year: 2019-20

Name of the Faculty Member	:Dr. N. Vasantha Gavai	Department: EEE	ć
Designation	: Aest Prot		
Courses Handled	: MPML lab		

Excellent-5	Very Good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1
Excellent-5	Very Good-4	G000-3	Average 2	

S.No	Parameters	Rati	ng (5	bein	g high	est)
1	The design of the curriculum addresses the holistic development of student.	4				
2	The curriculum is well balanced with knowledge, skills and employability.	4				
3	Suitability of the Syllabus to the Course.	4				
4	The course/courses are relevant to the present scenario.	3				
5	Course objectives and outcomes are well defined.	4				
6	Prescribed books/suggested readings and other references appropriate.	5				
7	BoS members from Academia and Industry constructive in updating the syllabi according to the changing educational challenges and requirements in line with regulating bodies like AICTE, UGC etc.	5				
8	The scheme and evaluationschedules satisfy the Teaching Learning Process.	5				
9	Freedom to suggest/propose/modify/incorporate new topics in the syllabus during the revision of curriculum?	4				
10	Institute/Department gives the freedom to adopt new technologies/strategies of innovative teaching?	4				
11	The environment in the department is conducing to learning, teaching, and research.	4				
12	Provisions/ for professional development.	5				
12	Adequacy of infrastructure (class/staff rooms, labs, library, and ICT facilities) in the institute. <b>other relevant information :</b> would be better to remove outdated om MPMC.	4				

Int

Signature of the faculty

## FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM - Academic Year: 2019-20

Name of the Faculty Designation Courses Handled	Member : Dr : As : W	.N.Vasant st. Prot ind & Solo	haGowai m Energy	Department: EEE
Excellent-5	Very Good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1

S.No	Parameters	Ratin	g (5 I	being	high	est)
1	The design of the curriculum addresses the holistic development of student.	5				
2	The curriculum is well balanced with knowledge, skills and employability.	5				
3	Suitability of the Syllabus to the Course.	4				
4	The course/courses are relevant to the present scenario.	ς				
5	Course objectives and outcomes are well defined.	5				
6	Prescribed books/suggested readings and other references appropriate.	4				
7	BoS members from Academia and Industry constructive in updating the syllabi according to the changing educational challenges and requirements in line with regulating bodies like AICTE, UGC etc.	15				
8	The scheme and evaluationschedules satisfy the Teaching Learning Process.	5				
9	Freedom to suggest/propose/modify/incorporate new topics in the syllabus during the revision of curriculum?	4				
10	Institute/Department gives the freedom to adopt new technologies/strategies of innovative teaching?	5				
11	The environment in the department is conducive to learning teaching, and research.	. 4				
12	Provisions/ for professional development.	4				
13	Adequacy of infrastructure (class/staff rooms, labs, library, and IC facilities) in the institute.	r y	-			

## Any other relevant information :

In detail analysis of electrical energy production by wind 4 eval & accus helded to integration to grid can be added.

nature of the faculty

## FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM - Academic Year: 2019-20

Name of the Faculty Member	:	P. Heneshwar Charg	Department: EEE
Designation	:	ASSE. POOFESSON	
Courses Handled	:	Wind and Solax Energy	
		<u> </u>	

Excellent-5	Very Good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1
Enternette				

S.No	Parameters	Rati	ng (5	bein	g high	est)
1	The design of the curriculum addresses the holistic development of student.					4
2	The curriculum is well balanced with knowledge, skills and employability.					4
3	Suitability of the Syllabus to the Course.					5
4	The course/courses are relevant to the present scenario.					4
5	Course objectives and outcomes are well defined.					5
6	Prescribed books/suggested readings and other references appropriate.					5
7	BoS members from Academia and Industry constructive in updating the syllabi according to the changing educational challenges and requirements in line with regulating bodies like AICTE, UGC etc.					5
8	The scheme and evaluationschedules satisfy the Teaching Learning					5
9	Freedom to suggest/propose/modify/incorporate new topics in the syllabus during the revision of curriculum?					5
10	Institute/Department gives the freedom to adopt new technologies/strategies of innovative teaching?					4
11	The environment in the department is conducing to learning					4
1	Provisions/ for professional development.					4
1	Adequacy of infrastructure (class/staff rooms, labs, library, and IC	Т				5

Any other relevant information :

Detail Analysis of Wind & Salas Energy Ontegration of Wind & Salas Energy to the Graid

Signature of the faculty

## FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM - Academic Year: 2019-20

Name of the Faculty Member			CRISHNA	Department: EEE
Designation	:	Assoc. Prof.		
Courses Handled	:	EMT		

Excellent-5	Very Good-4	Good-3	Average-2	Poor-1
EXCellent-3				

ю	Parameters	Rati	ng (5	being	highe	st
	The design of the curriculum addresses the holistic development of student.	5				
	The curriculum is well balanced with knowledge, skills and employability.	5				
3	Suitability of the Syllabus to the Course.	5				
4	The course/courses are relevant to the present scenario.	5				
5	Course objectives and outcomes are well defined.	S				
6	Prescribed books/suggested readings and other references appropriate.	5				
7	BoS members from Academia and Industry constructive in updating the syllabi according to the changing educational challenges and requirements in line with regulating bodies like AICTE, UGC etc.	5				
8	The scheme and evaluationschedules satisfy the Teaching Learning Process.		4			
9	Freedom to suggest/propose/modify/incorporate new topics in the syllabus during the revision of curriculum?	5				
10	Institute/Department gives the freedom to adopt new technologies/strategies of innovative teaching?	5			ſ	
11	The environment in the department is conducing to learning, teaching, and research.		4			
12			4			
13	Adequacy of infrastructure (class/staff rooms, labs, library, and ICT facilities) in the institute.	5				
An	y other relevant information :					
	2					

STY

Signature of the faculty